

COMPUTING THE SATAKE p -PARAMETERS OF SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS

NATHAN C. RYAN

ABSTRACT. Hecke eigenvalues of classical modular forms often encode a wealth of arithmetic data. The Satake p -parameters of a Siegel modular form play a role analogous to the one played by Hecke eigenvalues in the characterization of classical modular forms. In this paper we present an algorithm by which we can compute the Satake p -parameters of a Siegel modular form F if we are given the Hecke eigenvalues of F with respect to the generators of the local Hecke algebra. We compute explicit examples and relate our computations to the Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture.

In the 1960's, Satake [?] showed the existence of an isomorphism Ω between the local, integral Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_n]^{W_n}$ (see Notation and Terminology for precise definitions), a ring of polynomials with rational coefficients that are invariant under the action of a signed permutation group W_n (W_n is the Weyl group associated to the symplectic group over a local field). Proving the existence of Ω is a key step in proving the existence of the Satake isomorphism $\Psi : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n+1}/W_n$ [?].

Let F be a Hecke eigenform of degree n and weight k and let the map $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \mathbb{C})$ be given by the rule $T \mapsto \lambda_F(T)$ (here $\lambda_F(T)$ is the eigenvalue of F with respect to the operator T). Then the entries of the $(n+1)$ -tuple $(\alpha_{0,p}, \dots, \alpha_{n,p})(\text{mod } W_n)$ of complex numbers $\Psi(\lambda)$ are called the Satake p -parameters of F .

Satake p -parameters are to Siegel modular forms and their associated L -functions what Hecke eigenvalues are to classical modular forms and their associated L -functions. Satake p -parameters are used to define L -functions, to generalize the Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture, and to develop results suggestive of multiplicity-one theorems [?]. Because of their prevalence, it is certainly of interest to be able to compute the Satake parameters of a given modular form F .

From the Satake p -parameters of a Hecke eigenform F , we can determine the Hecke eigenvalue of F with respect to any Hecke operator T by means of the spherical map Ω [?],[?]. The spherical map [?] is defined on right cosets whereas Hecke operators are linear combinations of double cosets. The image of a double coset in the Hecke algebra is the sum of the image of the right cosets into which the double coset decomposes. Two questions naturally arise: (1) Can we explicitly determine a matrix representation of the spherical map? and (2) What Hecke data for F do we need to determine the Satake p -parameters of F ?

Our starting point is the following proposition of Andrianov [?],

Date: October 25, 2004 and, in revised form, February 1, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11F46, 11F60; Secondary 65D20, 65-04.

Key words and phrases. Siegel modular forms, Hecke eigenvalues, Satake p -parameters.

The first author was supported in part by a GAANN Fellowship.

Proposition 0.1. *Let p be prime. Each non-zero $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \mathbb{C})$ has the following form: for $T \in \mathcal{H}_{n,p}$,*

$$T \mapsto \lambda_A(T) = \Omega(T)|_{(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, p)}$$

where $A = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, p)$ is a vector of non-zero complex numbers depending only on λ .

Thus, to compute the image of Ψ , we first need to compute the image of Ω . A paper by Krieg [?] tells us how to compute the Ω -image of the generators of $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}$ which is all that is needed for our work.

An outline of this paper is as follows: using Proposition 0.1 we get a system of $n+1$ polynomial equations in $n+1$ unknowns. Performing some straightforward algebraic manipulation, we change this into a system of n polynomial equations in n unknowns. The algorithm we develop takes as input this manipulated system and outputs a representative of the Satake p -parameter W_n -equivalence class. Using this algorithm we verify the (strong) Ramanujan Petersson Conjecture for particular Hecke eigenforms based on Hecke eigenvalue computations by Skoruppa [?] and Breulmann and Kuss [?].

NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

Our notation follows closely that of Andrianov [?].

- by Γ^n we denote Siegel's modular group $Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and by G we denote $GSp_n^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) : \alpha \text{ satisfies (i) and (ii)}\}$ where (i) is ${}^tAD - {}^tCB = r(\alpha)I_n$ for some $r(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and (ii) is that ${}^tAC, {}^tBD$ are symmetric. The positive real number $r(\alpha)$ is the *similitude* of α .
- let $R(\Gamma, S)$ be the space of finite formal $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of right cosets of the form $\Gamma\alpha$ where $\alpha \in S = GSp_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$. By $R(\Gamma, S)^\Gamma$ we denote the stabilizer of $R(\Gamma, S)$ under the action given by right multiplication by elements of Γ . Then $\mathcal{H}_n = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ denotes the *global Hecke algebra*. For $S_p = S \cap M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}])$ we define $R(\Gamma, S_p)^\Gamma$ in a similar way. Then $\mathcal{H}_{n,p} = R(\Gamma, S_p)^\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ denotes the *local Hecke algebra*. Finally, for $\underline{S}_p = S_p \cap M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ we define $R(\Gamma, \underline{S}_p)^\Gamma$ in a similar way and denote by $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_{n,p} = R(\Gamma, \underline{S}_p)^\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ the *integral Hecke algebra*.
- by W_n we denote the group of $\mathbb{Q}$ -automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1})$ generated by the permutations of the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the maps τ_i given by

$$\tau_i(x_0) = x_0x_i, \tau_i(x_i) = x_i^{-1}, \text{ and } \tau_i(x_j) = x_j \text{ (} j \neq i, 0 \text{)}.$$

We set $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in S_n\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_n]^{W_n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in W_n\}$. Following Andrianov, we call the map $\Psi : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n+1}/W_n$ the *Satake isomorphism* and the map $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{n,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_n]^{W_n}$ the *spherical map*.

- by S_n we denote the symmetric group on n letters and it acts on $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by permuting the subscripts of the indeterminates x_i . Then for $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we define the S_n *symmetrized polynomial* of f to be

$$\text{Sym}_{S_n}(f) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n / \text{Stab}(f)} \sigma(f)$$

and for $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_n]^{W_n}$ we define the W_n *symmetrized polynomial* of f to be

$$\text{Sym}_{W_n}(f) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_n / \text{Stab}(f)} \sigma(f).$$

For brevity we will denote $\text{Sym}_{W_n}(f)$ by $[f]$.

- Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then by $p^{\mathbf{b}}$ we mean the matrix $\text{diag}(p^{b_1}, \dots, p^{b_n})$ and by $x^{\mathbf{b}}$ we mean the monomial $x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}$.
- by $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma^n)$ we denote the space of Siegel cusp forms of weight k and degree n ; by a *Hecke eigenform* we mean a cusp form that is an eigenform for all the Hecke operators $T(m)$.
- there is an imbedding $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \cup_{i=1}^{\nu} \Gamma\alpha_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \Gamma\alpha_i$ of double cosets into $\mathcal{H}_n$. It makes sense, then, to say $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}$ is generated by the $n+1$ double cosets $T_0(p) = \Gamma\text{diag}(I_n; pI_n)\Gamma$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ $T_i(p^2) = \Gamma\text{diag}(I_i, pI_{n-i}; p^2I_i, pI_{n-i})\Gamma$. We also define the extra operator $T_0(p^2) = (I_n; p^2I_n)$.
- $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \cup_{i=1}^{\nu}$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma^n)$ by $F|_k\Gamma\alpha\Gamma = r(\alpha)^{nk-n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} F|_k(\alpha_i)$ where $r(\alpha)$ is the similitude of α and

$$F_k(\alpha_i) = \det(CZ + D)^{-k} F((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) \text{ where } \alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

By $\lambda_F(T)$ we mean the eigenvalue of F with respect to operator $T \in \mathcal{H}$.

- Let $F \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma^n)$ be a simultaneous eigenform. The *Satake p -parameters associated to F* is the $(n+1)$ -tuple $(\alpha_{0,p}, \dots, \alpha_{n,p}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n+1}/W_n$ which is the image of the homomorphism $T \mapsto \lambda_F(T)$ under the Satake isomorphism $\Psi : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_{n,p}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n+1}/W_n$.

Before starting in earnest, we state and prove the following easy lemma:

Lemma 0.2. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $r \geq 0$. Under the action of W_n we obtain the following orbit:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Orbit}_{W_n}(x_0^r x^{\mathbf{a}}) &= \text{Orbit}_{S_n}(\{x_0^r x_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n} : \epsilon_i = a_i \text{ or } r - a_i\}) \\ &= \left\{ x_0^r x_{\sigma(1)}^{\epsilon_{\sigma(1)}} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\epsilon_{\sigma(n)}} : \sigma \in S_n, \epsilon_i = a_i \text{ or } r - a_i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We see the first equality directly: applying τ_i to $x_0^r x^{\mathbf{a}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(x_0^r x^{\mathbf{a}}) &= x_0^r x_i^r x_1^{a_1} \dots x_i^{-a_i} \dots x_n^{a_n} \\ &= x_0^r x_1^{a_1} \dots x_i^{r-a_i} \dots x_n^{a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since W_n is generated by the τ_i and $\sigma \in S_n$ we get the first equality. The second equality follows immediately. $\square$

1. A RESULT OF KRIEG

Let $\mathbf{r} = (2, \dots, 2) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Write the operator $T_i(p^2)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) as $\Gamma\text{diag}(p^{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{b}_i}; p^{\mathbf{b}_i})\Gamma$ so that $\mathbf{b}_i = (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_i)$. In [?] we show that

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega(T_i(p^2)) = \sum_{j=i}^n c(i, j) \text{Sym}_{W_n}(x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{b}_j})$$

where a method to compute the coefficients is described. We show, in particular, that $c(j, j)$ is non zero. Krieg (Corollary 2 in [?]) does one better than this and gives

the following explicit expressions for the coefficients $c(i, j)$ (notice that we normalize our slash operator differently, so we divide his coefficients by $p^{nk-n(n+1)/2}$ [?]):

Proposition 1.1. *Let $i, j \geq 0$. Then*

$$c(2i+1, j) = (p^{j+1} - 1)c(2i, j+1)$$

$$c(2i, j) = p^{-nk+n(n+1)/2} \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l \binom{2i+j}{i-l} p^{4i^2+4ij+2i+\frac{1}{2}j^2+\frac{1}{2}j-2jl-l^2} d(l, j)$$

where

$$d(0, j) := 1$$

$$d(1, j) := p^j + \frac{p^{2j+2} - 1}{p^2 - 1}$$

and

$$d(l, j) := \prod_{t=1}^l \frac{p^{2j+2t} - 1}{p^{2t} - 1} + p^j \prod_{t=1}^{l-1} \frac{p^{2j+2t} - 1}{p^{2t} - 1}$$

for $l \geq 2$.

2. NOTATION FOR THE ALGORITHM

Suppose $[\Omega_2]$ is the upper triangular matrix whose (i, j) th entry ($j \geq i$) is the coefficient $c(i, j)$ from Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 0.1 relates the eigenvalues for $T \in \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{n,p}$ with Satake parameters. Let $\lambda_{F,0}(p)$ denote the eigenvalue of a simultaneous eigencuspform F with respect to the Hecke operator $T_0(p)$ and let λ_i denote the eigenvalue of F with respect to $T_i(p^2)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$). According to equation 1.1 and Proposition 0.1 we get the following system of equations (see Notation above):

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{F,0}(p) &= x_0(1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_n) \\ \lambda_n &= c_{11}[x_0^2 x^{(1,\dots,1)}] \\ \lambda_{n-1} &= c_{12}[x_0^2 x^{(1,\dots,1)}] + c_{22}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,\dots,1)}] \\ \lambda_{n-2} &= c_{13}[x_0^2 x^{(1,\dots,1)}] + c_{23}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,\dots,1)}] + c_{33}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,1,\dots,1)}] \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda_0 &= c_{1,n+1}[x_0^2 x^{(1,\dots,1)}] + \cdots + c_{n+1,n+1}[x_0^2 x^{(2,\dots,2)}]. \end{aligned}$$

We have left the equations in polynomial notation rather than in Satake parameter notation but we retain the assumption that the x_i cannot take 0 as a value.

We note that the system is “upper triangular” in the sense that all but the last term of the right hand side of each equation is the same as the right hand side of the preceding equation. Hence this system becomes, for constants $k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}$,

(note that we drop the first equation)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_n &= c_{11}[x_0^2 x^{(1, \dots, 1)}] := c_{11}k_1 \\
 \lambda_{n-1} &= c_{12}k_1 + c_{22}[x_0^2 x^{(2, 1, \dots, 1)}] := c_{12}k_1 + c_{22}k_2 \\
 (2.2) \quad \lambda_{n-2} &= c_{13}k_1 + c_{23}k_2 + c_{33}[x_0^2 x^{(2, 2, 1, \dots, 1)}] := c_{13}k_1 + c_{23}k_2 + c_{33}k_3 \\
 &\vdots \\
 \lambda_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_{i, n+1}k_i + c_{n+1, n+1}[x_0^2 x^{(2, \dots, 2)}] := \sum_{i=1}^n c_{i, n+1}k_i + c_{n+1, n+1}k_{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of clarity in the algorithm, we need to rearrange and rewrite the equations. But first we need to introduce the following piece of notation and prove the following lemma:

Notation 2.1. Let $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)} = \text{Sym}_{S_n}(x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2 x_{k+1} \cdots x_{k+j})$. If $k+1 < 0$ or $k+j > n$, $\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)} = 0$.

In words, $\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)}$ is the S_n -symmetrized polynomial of a monomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with k of the x_i squared and j of the x_i to the first power.

The following lemma relates S_n symmetrized polynomials and the W_n symmetrized polynomials in system 2.2:

Lemma 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and the integer $0 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad [x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-j}^2 x_{n-j+1} \cdots x_n] = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. As both sides of equation 2.3 are polynomials where every monomial has coefficient one, we argue that the set of terms of the right hand side of equation 2.3 is contained in the set of terms on the left side of the equation and that these two sets have the same size.

Let $x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{a}}$ be a monomial on the left hand side of the equation. We know that $x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Orbit}_{W_n}(x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-j}^2 x_{n-j+1} \cdots x_n)$. By Lemma 0.2 we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad \text{Orbit}_{W_n}(x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-j}^2 x_{n-j+1} \cdots x_n) = \\
 \{x_0^2 x_{\sigma(1)}^{\epsilon_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\epsilon_{\sigma(n)}} : \sigma \in S_n, \epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_j = 1, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 2\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, as the left hand side of equation 2.4 is invariant under permutations of $x_1, \dots, x_n$, that $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$ are 2, $\epsilon_{k+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{k+j}$ are 1 and $\epsilon_{k+j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n$ are 0. Then the monomial $x_0 x^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a term in $\text{Sym}_{S_n}(x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2 x_{k+1} \cdots x_{k+j}) = \mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)}$.

We count the number of terms on each side of equation 2.3. According to Lemma 0.2 there are $\binom{n}{j}$ places for ϵ_i to equal 1 and the remaining $n-j$ ϵ_i 's are either 0 or 2. So the total number of terms on the left hand side of equation 2.3 is $\binom{n}{j} 2^{n-j}$.

For each $0 \leq k \leq n-j$ the polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)}$ has $n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)$ places to let ϵ_i be 2 and since order does not matter we get that are $n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)/k!$ way to arrange the 2's. Since there are $n-k$ remaining ϵ_i 's and j of these have to be 1's and the rest 0's, we have a total of $\binom{n-k}{j} n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)/k!$ terms in

$\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n)}$). A straightforward computation shows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} = \binom{n}{j} 2^{n-j}.$$

□

We apply Lemma 2.2 to change the equations in system 2.2 to sums of S_n -invariant polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_1 &= \lambda_n / c_{11} = x_0^2 \mathfrak{S}_{0,n}^{(n)} x_1 \cdots x_n = x_0^2 x_1 \cdots x_n \\
 k_2 &= \frac{\lambda_{n-1} - c_{12} k_1}{c_{22}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n)} \\
 k_3 &= \frac{\lambda_{n-2} - c_{13} k_1 - c_{23} k_2}{c_{33}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-2}^{(n)} \\
 &\vdots \\
 (2.5) \quad k_j &= \frac{\lambda_{n-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_{ij} k_i}{c_{jj}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-j+1}^{(n)} \\
 &\vdots \\
 k_{n+1} &= \frac{\lambda_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{i,n+1} k_i}{c_{n+1,n+1}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first equation in system 2.5 says that the monomial $x_0^2 x_1 \cdots x_n = k_1$ is constant. We eliminate the variable x_0 in system 2.5 by multiplying both sides by $x_1 \cdots x_n$ and subsequently divide by k_1 to get the following system of n equations in n unknowns:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{k_2}{k_1} x_1 \cdots x_n &= \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n)} \\
 \frac{k_3}{k_1} x_1 \cdots x_n &= \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-2}^{(n)} \\
 &\vdots \\
 (2.6) \quad \frac{k_j}{k_1} x_1 \cdots x_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-j+1}^{(n)} \\
 &\vdots \\
 \frac{k_{n+1}}{k_1} x_1 \cdots x_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By defining new constants c_j to be $\frac{k_{n+2-j}}{k_1}$ and doing some rearranging we get the system the algorithm takes as input:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n)} - c_1 x_1 \dots x_n = 0 \\
 f_2 &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(n)} - c_2 x_1 \dots x_n = 0 \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_i &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)} - c_i x_1 \dots x_n = 0 \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n)} - c_n x_1 \dots x_n = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.7}$$

To solve system 2.7, one more piece of notation is necessary

Definition 2.3. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ so that the leading term of f is $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$ and the leading term of g is $x^{\mathbf{b}} = x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}$. If $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$ then we define the S -polynomial of f and g to be

$$S(f, g) = \frac{x^{\mathbf{b}}}{x^{\mathbf{a}}} f - g.$$

The main point of Definition 2.3 is to have a way to cancel off the leading terms, with respect to the lexicographic order, of polynomials f and g . Notice that adding $S(f, g)$ to a system of polynomials that contains f and g does not affect the solution set to the system of polynomials. See, for examples, [?], for this and other techniques from algebraic geometry.

3. THE ALGORITHM

With the notation from the previous section, we are ready to present the algorithm to compute Satake parameters given the Hecke eigenvalues for $T_0(p)$ and $T_i(p^2)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Before we present our algorithm for finding the solutions to the system of polynomial equations $f_1 = 0, \dots, f_n = 0$ as defined in system 2.7, we make the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree n , the *reciprocal* $f^r(x)$ of $f(x)$ is $f^r(x) = x^n f(1/x)$. If $f(x) = f^r(x)$, we call it a *palindromic polynomial*.

Theorem 3.2. The following algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ solves the systems of polynomial equations $f_1 = 0, \dots, f_n = 0$ as defined in system 2.7.

- (1) $f_{n+1} = S(f_1, f_2)$ and $f_{n+2} := S(f_2, f_{n+1})$.
- (2) $i := 3$; for $j := 3$ to n do
 - for $k := 1$ to j do
 - $f_{n+i} := S(f_{n+i-1}, f_j)$;
 - $i := i + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{od;} \\ & G_j^{(n)} := f_{n+i-1} \\ & \text{od;} \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ results in $G_n^{(n)}$ having degree $(1, 1, \dots, 1, 2n)$. Moreover each term of $G_n^{(n)}$ is divisible by $x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$ and the polynomial $G_n^{(n)}/x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$ is palindromic.

We prove Theorem 3.2 by the following lemmas and leave sample applications of the algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ to the next section (to see the algorithm in action, we suggest you look there first). First we make the following definition:

Definition 3.3. A finite sequence of numbers $a(k)$ for k from i to j ($i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is palindromic if $a(k) = a(j - k + i)$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let f_i be as in the statement of Theorem 3.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_i := (x_n^2 + 1) & \left((x_{n-1}^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} + x_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} \right) \\ & + x_n \left((x_{n-1}^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} + x_{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-3}^{(n-2)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_{n-2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of f_i in system 2.7 we have

$$f_i := \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_n.$$

We parse $\mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)}$ according to the power of x_n that appears in the monomials:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)} = x_n^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i-1}^{(n-1)} + x_n \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} + \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)}$$

The first summand has x_n^2 factored out so what remains has only $k-1$ variables squared. The second summand has x_n factored out, so there are $i-2$ variables to the first. All three summands are superscripted by $n-1$ since we have accounted for the appearance of x_n .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_n \\ &= x_n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i-1}^{(n-1)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_n \\ &= x_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i-1}^{(n-1)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_n \end{aligned}$$

where the indexing of the first and second summand change since $\mathfrak{S}_{-1,i-1}^{(n-1)}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{n-i+1,i-1}^{(n-1)}$ are zero. Then, shifting the index in the first summand we get

$$(3.1) \quad f_i := (x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} + x_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \right).$$

Next we apply a completely analogous argument to the $\mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-1)}$ in equation 3.1, but we parse the summands of $\mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-1)}$ according to the power of x_{n-1} . Hence,

$$(3.2) \quad f_i := (x_n^2 + 1) \left((x_{n-1}^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} + x_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} \right) \\ + x_n \left((x_{n-1}^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} + x_{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-3}^{(n-2)} - c_i x_1 \cdots x_{n-2} \right) \right).$$

□

In equation 3.2 we parse the monomials of f_i according to the power of x_n and x_{n-1} that appear. Since f_i is a symmetric polynomial, if we apply a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ so that n and $n-1$ get sent to 1 and n respectively, a priori, equation 3.2 would become:

$$(3.3) \quad f_i := (x_1^2 + 1) \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} \right) + \\ x_n \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} + x_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-3}^{(n-2)} - c_i x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right).$$

As written, equation 3.3 does not make sense since $\mathfrak{S}_{k,j}^{(n-2)}$ is a polynomial in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}$ and thus there are too many x_1 's in the terms of f_i . Thus we introduce the notation $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,j}^{(n-2)}$ to be the polynomial $\text{Sym}_{S_{n-2}}(x_2^2, \dots, x_{k+1}^2, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+j+1})$ where the action of S_{n-2} is on the indeterminates $x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$. With this notation, the correct version of equation 3.3 is

$$(3.4) \quad f_i := (x_1^2 + 1) \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} \right) + \\ x_n \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} + x_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-3}^{(n-2)} - c_i x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right).$$

Lemma 3.5. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $G_i^{(n)}$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3.2. If

$$G_i^{(n)} = x_1^2 \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) + \\ x_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2i-1} a_2(j) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k + \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right)$$

then $G_{i+1}^{(n)} = f_{i+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) - G_i^{(n)} x_n$.

Proof. Let $h_1 := S(f_{i+1}, G_i^{(n)})$. Recall that the degree of f_{i+1} is $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_i)$

and that the leading coefficient of f_{i+1} is 1. So, $h_1 = x_n^{2i-1} a_1(i) f_{i+1} - G_i^{(n)}$. Hence, $\deg(h_1) < (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i)$ and $\deg(h_1) \geq (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2)$ since a

term with this exponent appears in $G_i^{(n)}$ but not in $x_n^{2i-1}a_1(i)f_{i+1}$ (if it did, then there would have to be a term in f_{i+1} with a multiplicand of x_n^{-1}). Note that $\deg(h_1) \neq (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-1)$ because if it did, there would be a summand of f_{i+1} with degree $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i+1}, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}) > \deg(f_{i+1})$.

For $k > 1$ assume that

$$h_{k-1} = f_{i+1}(x_n^{2i-1}a_1(i) + \dots + x_n^{2i-2k+3}a_1(i-k+2)) - G_i^{(n)}.$$

Now, $h_k = S(f_{i+1}, h_{k-1})$. Notice that

$$(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2k) \leq \deg(h_k) < (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2k+2).$$

We deduce the strict inequality from the definition of the S -polynomial and the other inequality from the fact that a term of degree $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2k)$ appears in $G_i^{(n)}$. Moreover, we cannot have a term of degree $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2k+1)$ for that would imply there was a term in f_{i+1} of degree $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i+1}, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}) > \deg(f_{i+1})$. Thus $\deg(h_k) = (\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2i-2k)$.

Notice when $i = k$, h_i has degree $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 0)$ and $h_i = \sum_{k=1}^i x_n^{2k-1}a_1(k)f_{i+1} - G_i^{(n)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i+1}^{(n)} &= h_{i+1} = a_1(0)f_{i+1} + x_n h_i \\ &= a_1(0)f_{i+1} + x_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^i x_n^{2k-1}a_1(k)f_{i+1} - G_i^{(n)} \right) \\ &= f_{i+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k)x_n^{2k} \right) - G_i^{(n)}x_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G_i^{(n)}$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3.2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^{(n)} &= x_1^2 \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) \\ &\quad + x_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2i-1} a_2(j)x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}x_n^k + \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the sequences $a_1(k)$ and $a_2(k)$ are palindromic.

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on i and for $n > 3$ (the examples in section 4 show the lemma for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$). We assume that $G_i^{(n)}$ is in the

form prescribed by the lemma and then use Lemma 3.5 to show that $G_{i+1}^{(n)}$ is of the same form.

In Lemma 3.4 we broke up f_{i+1} according to the power of x_n in the terms, and we rewrite it as in equation 3.4

$$f_{i+1} := (x_1^2 + 1) \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-2)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) + x_n \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} + x_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} - c_{i+1} x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right).$$

By Lemma 3.5 we know

$$(3.5) \quad G_{i+1}^{(n)} = f_{i+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) - G_i^{(n)} x_n.$$

We look at the x_1^2 term in $G_{i+1}^{(n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left((x_n^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-2)} + x_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) - \\ & x_n x_1^2 \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) \right) \\ & = x_1^2 \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k+2} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-2)} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-2)} \right) \right) \\ & := x_1^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i+1} b_1(k) x_n^{2k} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-2)} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $b_1(0) = a_1(0)$, $b_1(i+1) = a_1(i)$ and for $0 < \ell < i+1$, $b_1(\ell) = a_1(\ell) + a_1(\ell-1)$. We need to show that the sequence $b_1(k)$ is palindromic; i.e., we want to show $b_1(k) = b_1(i+1-k)$. We check the endpoints of the sequence first: since $a_1(k)$ is palindromic, we know that $b_1(0) = a_1(0) = a_1(i) = b_1(i+1)$. Now let $0 < \ell < i+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(\ell) &= a_1(\ell) + a_1(\ell-1) \\ &= a_1(i-\ell) + a_1(i-\ell+1) \\ &= b_1(i-\ell+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the x_1^2 term of equation 3.5 is of the form demanded by the lemma.

Since the x_1^2 terms in both $x_n G_i^{(n)}$ and $f_{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k}$ are identical to the x_1^0 terms in $x_n G_i^{(n)}$ and $f_{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k}$, the same argument as above shows that the x_1^0 term of equation 3.5 is of the form demanded by the lemma.

Now we turn our attention to the x_1 term in equation 3.5. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left((x_n^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) + x_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} - c_{i+1} x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right) - \\
& x_1 x_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2i-1} a_2(k) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k + \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-2)} \right) \right) \\
& = x_1 \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k+2} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sum_{k=1}^{2i-1} a_2(k) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^{k+1} - c_{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^i a_1(k) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^{2k+1} \right) \\
& := x_1 \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i+1} b_1(k) x_n^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-2)} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2i+1} b_2(k) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_1(k)$ was defined above and $b_2(\ell)$ is $a_2(\ell - 1)$ if ℓ is even and $a_2(\ell - 1) - c_{i+1} a_1\left(\frac{\ell-1}{2}\right)$ if ℓ is odd. We have already shown that $b_1(k)$ is palindromic so all that remains to be shown is that $b_2(\ell)$ is palindromic. Since $a_2(k)$ and $a_1(k)$ are palindromic (i.e., $a_2(k) = a_2(2i - k)$ and $a_1(k) = a_1(i - k)$) we get, for odd ℓ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_2(\ell) &= a_2(\ell - 1) - c_{i+1} a_1\left(\frac{\ell - 1}{2}\right) \\
&= a_2(2i - \ell + 1) - c_{i+1} a_1\left(\frac{2i - k + 1}{2}\right) \\
&= a_2(2i - \ell + 2 - 1) - c_{i+1} a_1\left(\frac{2i - \ell + 2 - 1}{2}\right) \\
&= b_2(2i - \ell + 2);
\end{aligned}$$

and for even ℓ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
b_2(\ell) &= a_2(\ell - 1) \\
&= a_2(2i - \ell - k + 2 - 1) \\
&= b_2(2i - \ell + 2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the x_1 term of equation 3.5 is as described in the lemma and the lemma is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.6

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n^{(n)} &= x_1^2 \sum_{j=0}^n a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^n a_1(j) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) + \\
&\quad x_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} a_2(j) x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k + \sum_{j=0}^i a_1(j) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-2}^{(n-2)} x_n^{2k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} a_2(k) x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k + \sum_{k=0}^n a_1(k) x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^{2k} \\
&:= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a(k) x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n^k
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_1(k)$ and $a_2(k)$ are palindromic and $a(0) = a_1(0)$, $a(2n) = a_n(0) = a_1(0)$, for even $0 < \ell < 2n$, $a(\ell) = a_1\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right) + a_2(\ell)$ and for odd $0 < \ell < 2n$, $a(\ell) = a_2(\ell)$. For even $0 < \ell < 2n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\ell) &= a_1\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right) + a_2(\ell) \\
&= a_1\left(n - \frac{\ell}{2}\right) + a_2(2n - \ell) \\
&= a_2(2n - \ell) + a_1\left(\frac{2n - \ell}{2}\right) \\
&= a(2n - \ell)
\end{aligned}$$

and for odd $0 < \ell < 2n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\ell) &= a_2(\ell) \\
&= a_2(2n - \ell) \\
&= a(2n - \ell).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. $\square$

Immediately, we deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 3.7. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 3.2. The polynomial $P_n := G_n^{(n)} / x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$ is palindromic.*

By the following proposition, being able to find P_n allows us to find all the solutions to system 2.7:

Proposition 3.8. *There are $2^n n!$ solutions $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ to system 2.7 produced by algorithm $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. When $n = 1$ we see that $f_1 = G_1^{(1)}$ is a quadratic polynomial in x_1 and thus there are 2 solutions.

Let $n > 1$ and assume the proposition holds for $m < n$. Apply algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ to system 2.7 to derive the palindromic polynomial P_n of degree $2n$ with roots $z_1^{(n)}, \dots, z_{2n}^{(n)}$. Without loss of generality, we substitute $z_1^{(n)}$ into system 2.7 and,

rewriting each f_i according to equation 3.1, we derive the following associated system:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1 &:= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n-1)} - z_1^{(n)} c_1 x_1 \dots x_{n-1} = 0 \\
\hat{f}_2 &:= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{S}_{1,0}^{(n-1)} - c_2 x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \right) = 0 \\
&\vdots \\
(3.6) \quad \hat{f}_i &:= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i+1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} - c_i x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \right) = 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\hat{f}_n &:= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-1}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-2}^{(n-1)} - c_n x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\hat{f}_{n+1} := z_1^{(n)} \hat{f}_1 - ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \hat{f}_2$ and for $i \geq 2$,

$$\hat{f}_{n+i} = \hat{f}_{i+1} ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i - \hat{f}_{n+i-1} z_1^{(n)}.$$

We claim $\hat{f}_{n+i} = ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)} - \hat{c}_i x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$.

Proof of Claim. The claim is proved by induction on i . By definition and system 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{n+1} &= z_1^{(n)} \hat{f}_1 - ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \hat{f}_2 \\
&= z_1^{(n)} \left(((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n-1)} - z_1^{(n)} x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \right) - \\
&\quad ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \left(((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(n-1)} - c_2 x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \right) \right) \\
&= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(n-1)} - ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) (z_1^{(n)}) c_2 x_1 \dots x_{n-1} - \\
&\quad (z_1^{(n)})^2 c_1 \dots x_1 \dots x_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\hat{c}_1 := (z_1^{(n)})^2 c_1 - ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) (z_1^{(n)}) c_2$ we can write

$$\hat{f}_{n+1} = ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(n-1)} - \hat{c}_1 x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$$

as demanded by the claim. $\square$

Now assume that

$$\hat{f}_{n+i-1} = ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} - \hat{c}_{i-1} x_1 \dots x_{n-1}.$$

By definition and an argument similar to the case when $i = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{n+i} &= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i \hat{f}_{i+1} - (z_1^{(n)}) \hat{f}_{n+i-1} \\
&= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i \left(((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} - c_{i+1} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right) - \\
&\quad (z_1^{(n)}) \hat{f}_{n+i-1} \\
&= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i \left(((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{1,i}^{(n-1)} + z_1^{(n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-2}^{(n-1)} - c_{i+1} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \right) - \\
&\quad (z_1^{(n)}) \left(((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n-1)} - \hat{c}_{i-1} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \right) \\
&= ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^{i+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n-1)} \right) - ((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^i (z_1^{(n)}) c_{i+1} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} - \\
&\quad (z_1^{(n)}) \hat{c}_{i-1} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which is of the desired form. Note that the system

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\hat{f}_{n+1}}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,1}^{(n-1)} - \frac{\hat{c}_1}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^2} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \\
&\vdots \\
(3.7) \quad &\frac{\hat{f}_{n+i}}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^{i+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)} - \frac{\hat{c}_i}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^{i+1}} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \\
&\vdots \\
&\frac{\hat{f}_{2n-1}}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^n} = \mathfrak{S}_{0,n-1}^{(n-1)} - \frac{\hat{c}_{n-1}}{((z_1^{(n)})^2 + 1)^n} x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

is of the same form has the input for algorithm $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, by induction, algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ produces $2^{n-1}(n-1)!$ $(n-1)$ -tuples that solve system 3.7. Since there are $2n$ possible choices for $z_i^{(n)}$ we get a total of $2^n n!$ solutions to system 2.7. $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *If system 2.7 arises from a simultaneous eigen cusp form F , algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ produces the Satake p -parameters of F .*

Proof. According to Proposition 3.8, algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ generates $2^n n!$ n -tuples in $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ that solve the equations in system 2.7. Pick one such n -tuple, call it $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then, as in system 2.1, we get

$$\frac{\lambda_{F,0}(p)}{(1 + \alpha_1) \cdots (1 + \alpha_n)} = \alpha_0.$$

Next, since the second equation in 2.1, $\lambda_n = c_{11} \alpha_0^2 \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$, is nonzero on the left hand side, each α_i is nonzero. Finally, since the equations in system 2.1 are W_n invariant, we conclude that $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n+1}/W_n$. Moreover, there is only one such $(n+1)$ -tuple since we found $2^n n!$ solutions and the cardinality of W_n is $2^n n!$. $\square$

4. EXAMPLE OF IMPLEMENTING THE ALGORITHM

We conclude by providing some examples. The first example illustrates the implementation of the algorithm for $n = 3$ and the second applies the implementation of the algorithm for $n = 2$ and applies the results of the algorithm to the verification of the Generalized Ramanujan Petersson Conjecture which states the Siegel modular forms not in the Maass Space have unimodular Satake p -parameters. We also provide a table of Satake p -parameters for the Schottky form $J \in \mathcal{S}_8(\Gamma_4)$ [?] for small p . In presenting these examples we also prove the base case for the proof of Lemma 3.6.

4.1. Sample Implementation. Suppose $n = 3$ and recall that the matrix $[\Omega_2] = (c_{ij})$ is upper triangular. By Proposition 0.1 we know the eigenvalues of $F \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_3)$ with respect to the operators $T_0(p)$, $T_0(p^2)$, $T_1(p^2)$, $T_2(p^2)$ and $T_3(p^2)$ are polynomials in the Satake parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{F,0}(p) &= x_0(1+x_1)(1+x_2)(1+x_3) \\
 \lambda_3 &= c_{11}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] \\
 (4.1) \quad \lambda_2 &= c_{12}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] + c_{22}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] \\
 \lambda_1 &= c_{13}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] + c_{23}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] + c_{33}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,1)}] \\
 \lambda_0 &= c_{14}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] + c_{24}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] + c_{34}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,1)}] + c_{44}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,2)}]
 \end{aligned}$$

The first equation in system 4.1 will be used to compute the value of x_0 and we ignore it for the time being.

We define constants k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and k_4 to be the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_3 &= c_{11}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] \\
 &:= k_1 c_{11} \\
 \lambda_2 &= c_{12}[(x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)})] + c_{22}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] \\
 &:= c_{12}k_1 + c_{22}k_2 \\
 (4.2) \quad \lambda_1 &= c_{13}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] + c_{23}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] + c_{33}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,1)}] \\
 &:= k_1 c_{13} + k_2 c_{23} + k_3 c_{33} \\
 \lambda_0 &= c_{14}[x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] + c_{24}[x_0^2 x^{(2,1,1)}] + c_{34}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,1)}] + c_{44}[x_0^2 x^{(2,2,2)}] \\
 &:= k_1 c_{14} + k_2 c_{24} + k_3 c_{34} + k_4 c_{44}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we rewrite the equations in system 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_1 &= \frac{\lambda_3}{c_{11}} = [x_0^2 x^{(1,1,1)}] = x_0^2 x_1 x_2 x_3 \\
 (4.3) \quad k_2 &= \frac{\lambda_2 - c_{12}k_1}{c_{22}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k,2}^{(3)} \\
 k_3 &= \frac{\lambda_1 - c_{13}k_1 - c_{23}k_2}{c_{33}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(3)} \\
 k_4 &= \frac{\lambda_0 - c_{14}k_1 - c_{24}k_2 - c_{34}k_3}{c_{44}} = x_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally to eliminate the variable x_0 we rewrite the equations in 4.3 and drop the first equation:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1 &:= \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(3)} - c_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0 \\ f_2 &:= \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(3)} - c_2 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0 \\ f_3 &:= \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{k,2}^{(3)} - c_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As described in Theorem 3.2 Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ produces polynomials f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7 and f_8 , and a final polynomial that is of degree $(1, 1, 6)$, palindromic, and divisible by $x_1 x_2$. These calculations were done with Maple 9 and checked by hand:

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &:= S(f_1, f_2) \\ &= x^{(2,2,0)} - x^{(2,1,3)} - x^{(2,1,1)} + x^{(2,0,0)} - x^{(1,2,3)} - x^{(1,2,1)} - c_1 x^{(1,1,1)} + c_2 x^{(1,1,2)} \\ &\quad - x^{(1,0,3)} - x^{(1,0,1)} + x^{(0,0,0)} + x^{(0,2,0)} - x^{(0,1,1)} - x^{(0,1,3)} \\ f_5 &:= S(f_2, f_4) \\ &= x^{(2,1,4)} + 2x^{(2,1,2)} + x^{2,1,0} + x^{(1,2,4)} + 2x^{(1,2,2)} + x^{(1,2,0)} - c_2 x^{(1,1,3)} + c_1 x^{(1,1,2)} \\ &\quad - c_2 x^{(1,1,1)} + x^{(1,0,4)} + 2x^{(1,0,2)} + x^{(1,0,0)} + x^{(0,1,4)} + 2x^{(0,1,2)} + x^{(0,1,0)} \\ f_6 &:= S(f_3, f_5) \\ &= 2x^{(2,1,2)} + x^{2,1,0} + x^{(1,2,0)} + 2x^{(1,2,2)} - x^{(1,1,5)} + c_3 x^{(1,1,4)} + (-c_2 - 1)x^{(1,1,3)} \\ &\quad + c_1 x^{(1,1,2)} - c_2 x^{(1,1,1)} + x^{(1,0,0)} + 2x^{(1,0,2)} \\ &\quad + 2x^{(0,1,2)} + x^{(0,1,0)} \\ f_7 &:= S(f_3, f_6) \\ &= x^{(2,1,0)} + x^{(1,2,0)} - x^{(1,1,5)} + c_3 x^{(1,1,4)} + (-c_2 - 3)x^{(1,1,3)} + (2c_3 + c_1)x^{(1,1,2)} \\ &\quad + (-c_2 - 2)x^{(1,1,1)} + x^{(1,1,0)} + y^{(0,1,0)} \\ f_8 &:= S(f_3, f_7) \\ &= -x^{(1,1,6)} + c_3 x^{(1,1,5)} + (-c_2 - 3)x^{(1,1,4)} + (2c_3 + c_1)x^{(1,1,3)} \\ &\quad + (-c_2 - 3)x^{(1,1,2)} + c_3 x^{(1,1,1)} - x^{(1,1,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_3 &:= G_3^{(3)} / x_1 x_2 = f_8 / x_1 x_2 \\ &= -x_3^6 + c_3 x_3^5 + (-c_2 - 3)x_3^4 + (2c_3 + c_1)x_3^3 + (-c_2 - 3)x_3^2 + c_3 x_3 - 1 \end{aligned}$$

is palindromic as demanded by Corollary 3.7.

Suppose the roots of $P_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x_3]$ are γ_i for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Then as in the proof of Proposition 3.8 we turn system 4.4 into the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad \hat{f}_1 &:= (\gamma_1^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,0}^{(2)} - c_1 \gamma_1 x_2 = 0 \\
\hat{f}_2 &:= (\gamma_1^2 + 1) \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,1}^{(2)} + \gamma_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,0}^{(2)} - c_2 x_1 x_2 \right) = 0 \\
\hat{f}_3 &:= (\gamma_1^2 + 1) x_1 x_2 + \gamma_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,1}^{(2)} - c_3 x_1 x_2 \right) = 0 \\
\hat{f}_4 &:= \gamma_1 \hat{f}_1 - (\gamma_1^2 + 1) \hat{f}_2 \\
&= (\gamma_1^2 + 1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,1}^{(2)} - \hat{c}_1 x_1 \cdots x_2 = 0 \\
\hat{f}_5 &:= \hat{f}_2 (\gamma_1^2 + 1)^2 - \hat{f}_4 \gamma_1 \\
&= (\gamma_1^2 + 1)^3 \mathfrak{S}_{0,2}^{(2)} - \hat{c}_2 x_1 \cdots x_2 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for complex constants $\hat{c}_1$ and $\hat{c}_2$.

Now we can apply algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ to the last two equations in system 4.5 to get, as in Corollary 3.7, a palindromic polynomial $P_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_2]$ of degree 4. Suppose β_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are the roots of P_2 . Next, we choose, without loss of generality, the root β_1 and substitute it into the first two equations, and produce

$$\hat{\hat{f}}_1 := (\gamma_1^2 + 1)(\beta_1^2 + 1)(x_1^2 + 1) - c_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1 x_1 = 0,$$

a palindromic quadratic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$. Finally, suppose that α_1 and α_2 are the roots of $\hat{\hat{f}}_1$.

Recall that in this case, the Satake p -parameters are an element of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^4/W_3$, so we have yet to find the p -parameter $\alpha_{0,p}$. From the first equation of 2.1 we get

$$\lambda_{F,0}(p) = x_0(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)(1 + x_3).$$

If we make the substitutions $x_1 = \alpha_1$, $x_2 = \beta_1$ and $x_3 = \gamma_1$ we can solve for the first p -parameter by

$$\delta = \frac{\lambda_{F,0}(p)}{(1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \beta_1)(1 + \gamma_1)}$$

and conclude from Corollary 3.9 that $(\delta, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ are the Satake p -parameters.

Since we have not included a computation of the matrix $[\Omega_2]$ for $n = 3$ we will not compute the Satake p -parameters of Hecke eigenforms $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_3)$. In the following section we compute the Satake p -parameters for particular Hecke eigenforms of genus 2 and 4.

4.2. Satake p -parameters for genus 2. In this section we compute, for small primes p , the Satake p -parameters of particular cusp forms not in the Maass space. We define the so-called Maass space:

Definition 4.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be even. The *Maass space* $S_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ is

$$\{F \in S_k(\Gamma_2) : F = \iota_k(f) \text{ for some } f \in S_k(\Gamma_1)\}$$

where ι_k is the Saito-Kurokawa lift.

Υ^*	p	$\lambda_{\Upsilon^*}(T(p))$	$\lambda_{\Upsilon^*}(T(p^2))$
$\Upsilon 20$	2	$-2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 73$	$2^{16} \cdot 523 \cdot 7243$
	3	$2^3 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 5099$	$-3^{10} \cdot 2658457 \cdot 2879687$
	5	$-2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 166103087$	$-5^6 \cdot 9973 \cdot 1165906151989603$
	7	$2^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 673 \cdot 28346749$	$-3 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 23 \cdot 43 \cdot 71 \cdot 1327$ $\cdot 1844737 \cdot 90682160593$
$\Upsilon 22$	2	$-2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 577$	$2^{16} \cdot 18869089$
	3	$-2^3 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 19 \cdot 97 \cdot 167$	$3^{10} \cdot 797 \cdot 1049 \cdot 2707 \cdot 48271$
	5	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 60700091989$	$-5^6 \cdot 105263022561216721922951$
$\Upsilon 24a$	2	$-2^{11} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 181$	$2^{22} \cdot 1039321$
	3	$-2^3 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 491$	$3^{12} \cdot 7 \cdot 9027177753487$
	5	$-2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 29 \cdot 109438961$	$-2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 29 \cdot 109438961$
$\Upsilon 24b$	2	$-2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 61$	$-2^{18} \cdot 166712087$
	3	$-2^3 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 2328401$	$-3^{12} \cdot 19 \cdot 6547 \cdot 40968624383$
	5	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 1562781531383$	$5^6 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 7793 \cdot 31534787$ $\cdot 2826173488483$
$\Upsilon 26a$	2	$-2^{13} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$	$-2^{26} \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 31 \cdot 1493$
	3	$-2^3 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 307 \cdot 61091$	$-3^{10} \cdot 7102940247697920959$
	5	$-2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 13 \cdot 37 \cdot 293$ $\cdot 1847 \cdot 3067$	$-5^{10} \cdot 31 \cdot 83 \cdot 1229$ $\cdot 155818729039703554943$
$\Upsilon 26b$	2	$-2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 229$	$2^{18} \cdot 508045441$
	3	$-2^3 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 1061 \cdot 1579$	$3^{14} \cdot 259103249 \cdot 297496289$
	5	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 37 \cdot 757$ $\cdot 2713 \cdot 51713$	$-5^6 \cdot 70949 \cdot 2914444459$ $\cdot 3212880792034321$

TABLE 1. Table of Eigenvalues for genus 2, [?]

Skoruppa ([?]) was able to compute the Hecke eigenvalues for the first 6 cusp forms of even weight which do not belong the Maass space. The first of the forms occurs at weight 20 and he calls it $\Upsilon 20$. Similarly, there is one such cusp form of weight 22, $\Upsilon 22$; two of weight 24, $\Upsilon 24a$ and $\Upsilon 24b$; and two of weight 26, $\Upsilon 26a$ and $\Upsilon 26b$. By computing the Fourier coefficients of these Hecke eigenforms, he was able to generate the Table 1 of eigenvalues.

From applying algorithm $\mathcal{A}$, we were able to generate Table 2 of Satake p -parameters. Note, in particular, that all the Satake p -parameters have modulus 1. A piece of notation needed to interpret the table is the following Hecke operator:

$$T(p^2) = \sum_{i=0}^n T_i(p^2).$$

Using Table 1 we can compute the Satake p -parameters for the Υ^* and primes p in the table.

First, from the eigen value $T(p^2)$ we need to recover the eigenvalue for $T_i(p^2)$ where $0 \leq i \leq 2$. By an elementary computation we know

$$\lambda_F(T_2(p^2)) = p^{2k-6}.$$

We also know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_F(T_0(p))^2 &= (\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \alpha_2))^2 \\
 &= \alpha_0^2((1 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2) + \\
 (4.6) \quad &2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2^2) + 4\alpha_1\alpha_2) \\
 &= p^{2k-3}c_1 + 2c_2p^{2k-3} + 4p^{2k-3}
 \end{aligned}$$

using the notation of system 2.7.

For $n = 2$ we compute the constants in Proposition 1.1 and with them find the image of $T(p^2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_F(T(p^2)) &= [\Omega]_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \alpha_0^2\left(\frac{1}{p^3} + \frac{p^2 - 1}{p^3} + \frac{2p - 2}{p}\right)\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \\
 (4.7) \quad &\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{p - 1}{p}\right)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2^3) + (1 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2) \\
 &= p^{2k-4} + (p^2 - 1)c_2p^{2k-6} + (2p - 2)p^{2k-4} + (p - 1)c_2p^{2k-4} + c_1p^{2k-3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Maple 9 we solved equations 4.6 and 4.7 for the constants c_1 and c_2 to plug into system 2.7 for $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &:= \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k,0}^{(2)} - c_1x_1x_2 = 0 \\
 f_2 &:= \sum_{k=0}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k,1}^{(2)} - c_2x_1x_2 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we applied the algorithm with the constants c_1 and c_2 we just computed and were able to determine Table 2 of Satake p -parameters.

4.3. Satake p -parameters for genus 4. The Ikeda lift [?] is a generalization of the Saito-Kurokawa lift in that it takes a classical Hecke eigenform f of weight $2k$ to a Hecke eigenform F of weight $k + n$ of genus n (here $k \cong n \pmod{2}$) whose standard L -function is equal to

$$\zeta(s) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} L(s + k + n - i, f).$$

It is expected that Hecke eigenforms not in the image of the Ikeda lift should satisfy the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, i.e., should have unimodular Satake p -parameters. The Schottky form [?] J is the lifted image of the unique normalized classical cusp form of weight 12 and is the unique normalized cusp form of weight 8 and genus 4. Breulmann and Kuss were able to compute Hecke eigenvalues for J ; their data is contained in Table 3. In Table 4 we provide the Satake p -parameters of J based on their computations; these data verify the expectation described above.

Υ_*	p	$\alpha_{1,p}$	$\alpha_{2,p}$
Υ_{20}	2	$0.6480 \dots + i0.7616 \dots$	$-0.2194 \dots + i0.9756 \dots$
	3	$0.4600 \dots + i0.8879 \dots$	$-0.9542 \dots + i0.2990 \dots$
	5	$0.6889 \dots + i0.7248 \dots$	$-0.9443 \dots + i0.3290 \dots$
	7	$0.1871 \dots + i0.9823 \dots$	$-0.0922 \dots + i0.3865 \dots$
Υ_{22}	2	$0.2346 \dots + i0.9720 \dots$	$-0.5479 \dots + i0.8364 \dots$
	3	$-0.1459 \dots + i0.9892 \dots$	$-0.9281 \dots + i0.3721 \dots$
	5	$-0.0257 \dots + i0.9996 \dots$	$-0.9532 \dots + i0.3022 \dots$
Υ_{24a}	2	$0.0757 \dots + i0.9971 \dots$	$-0.7957 \dots + i0.6055 \dots$
	3	$0.1368 \dots + i0.9905 \dots$	$-0.7907 \dots + i0.6121 \dots$
	5	$-0.1066 \dots + i0.9943 \dots$	$-0.9999 \dots + i0.0046 \dots$
Υ_{24b}	2	$0.8652 \dots + i0.5013 \dots$	$-0.8407 \dots + i0.5413 \dots$
	3	$0.3534 \dots + i0.9354 \dots$	$-0.9884 \dots + i0.1514 \dots$
	5	$0.2143 \dots + i0.9767 \dots$	$-0.6417 \dots + i0.7668 \dots$
Υ_{26a}	2	$0.4266 \dots + i0.9044 \dots$	$-0.8984 \dots + i0.4391 \dots$
	3	$0.7854 \dots + i0.6189 \dots$	$-0.9805 \dots + i0.1962 \dots$
	5	$0.1757 \dots + i0.9844 \dots$	$-0.9034 \dots + i0.4287 \dots$
Υ_{26b}	2	$-0.1533 \dots + i0.9881 \dots$	$-0.1533 \dots + i0.9881 \dots$
	3	$0.5703 \dots + i0.8213 \dots$	$-0.2998 \dots + i0.9539 \dots$
	5	$0.4852 \dots + i0.8743 \dots$	$-0.8548 \dots + i0.5189 \dots$

TABLE 2. Table of Satake p -parameters for genus 2

5. AN APPLICATION TO THE RAMANUJAN-PETERSSON CONJECTURE

As noted above, the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture for genus 2 claims that a Siegel modular form F not in the Maass space has Satake parameters of modulus 1. This claim has been verified in Table 2.

As noted in Corollary 3.7, the output of algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ is a palindromic polynomial of degree $2n$. Palindromic polynomials have reciprocal roots and often have roots on the unit circle as the following proposition shows:

Proposition 5.1 ([?]). *Let n be an even integer and let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ be a palindromic polynomial. If there exists a $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n/2 - 1\}$ such that*

$$|a_k| \geq |a_{n/2}| \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{\lfloor \frac{n/2}{n/2-k} \rfloor + 2} \right)$$

then $f(x)$ has a pair of unimodular roots.

In terms of the constants c_1 and c_2 defined in system 2.7, our polynomial P_2 is

$$(5.1) \quad P_2 = x_2^4 - c_2 x_2^3 + (2 + c_1) x_2^2 - c_2 x_2 + 1.$$

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a palindromic polynomial of degree $2n$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{x^n} F(x) = \overline{F}(x + x^{-1})$$

where $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$ is a polynomial of degree n .

p	$\lambda_J(T_0(p))$	$\lambda_J(T_1(p^2))$	$\lambda_J(T_2(p^2))$	$\lambda_J(T_3(p^2))$
2	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$	$-2^{13} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$2^{14} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$-2^{14} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2$
3	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$	$2^4 \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5 \cdot 17$	$2^4 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 107$	$2^7 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 1979$
5	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 131 \cdot 199$	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 13^2 \cdot 41$	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^8 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31 \cdot 37253$	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 164496949$
7	$2^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 1051$	$-2^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 1049$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^8 \cdot 19 \cdot 659 \cdot 23039$	$-2^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 1446422309$

TABLE 3. Table of Hecke eigenvalues for the Schottky form, [?]

p	$\alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,p}$	$\alpha_{3,p}, \alpha_{4,p}$
2	$-0.1875 \dots \pm i0.6817 \dots$	$-0.7500 \dots \pm i2.7271 \dots$
3	$0.5185 \dots \pm i1.6526 \dots$	$0.0576 \dots \pm i0.1836 \dots$
5	$0.1545 \dots \pm i0.4196 \dots$	$0.0309 \dots \pm i0.0839 \dots$
7	$-0.4981 \dots \pm i2.5984 \dots$	$-0.0101 \dots \pm i0.0530 \dots$

TABLE 4. Table of Satake p -parameters of J

Proof. Let $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i x^i$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x)/x^n &= \sum_{i=-n}^n a_i x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x^i + x^{-i}). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that each $x^i + x^{-i}$ is a polynomial of degree i in $\mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$.

Proof of Claim. We prove the statement by induction. When $i = 1$: clearly $x + x^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$. Assume the statement holds for i and deduce it holds for $i + 1$:

$$(x^{i+1} + x^{-(i+1)}) = (x^i + x^{-i})(x^1 + x^{-1}) - (x^{i-1} + x^{-(i-1)}).$$

By induction hypothesis, $(x^i + x^{-i}) \in \mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$ is of degree i and $(x^{i-1} + x^{-(i-1)}) \in \mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$ is of degree $i - 1$. Thus the right hand side is a polynomial of degree $i + 1$ in $\mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$. $\square$

In light of the claim, the polynomial $F(x)/x^n \in \mathbb{C}[x + x^{-1}]$ is of degree n . $\square$

The polynomial P_2 in equation 5.1 can be rewritten as in Proposition 5.2:

$$\frac{1}{x_2^2} P_2(x_2) = \overline{P}_2(x_2 + x_2^{-1}) = (x_2 + x_2^{-1})^2 - c_2(x_2 + x_2^{-1}) + c_1.$$

Let γ_1, γ_2 be the two roots of $\overline{P}_2(x_2 + x_2^{-1})$. Note that P_2 has four unimodular roots when both

$$x_2 + \frac{1}{x_2} = \gamma_1 \text{ and } x_2 + \frac{1}{x_2} = \gamma_2$$

have a pair of unimodular roots. By Proposition 5.1 this happens when $|\gamma_i| < 2$.

6. APPENDIX

In this appendix we show how to compute explicitly $\lambda_F(T_0(p^2))$ knowing $\lambda_F(T_0(p))$ and $\lambda_F(T_i(p^2))$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). From this we immediately see that the implementation of the algorithm requires knowledge of the eigenvalues of F with respect to the generators and that knowledge of $\lambda_F(T_0(p^2))$ is redundant.

First, recall the last equations of system 2.2:

$$\lambda_{F,0}(p^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{i,n+1} k_i + c_{n+1,n+1} \text{Sym} \left(x_0^2 x^{(2,\dots,2)} \right)$$

where the constants $c_{i,n+1}$ are known by Proposition 1.1 and the constants k_i are determined by knowing that $k_1 = p^{nk-n^2-n}$ and the recursion relation:

$$k_i := \lambda_F(T_{n-i+1}(p^2)) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{j,i+1} k_j.$$

So if we can express $\text{Sym}(x_0^2 x^{(2,\dots,2)})$ in terms of the constants k_i we will have shown what we intend to show. The crux is the following proposition:

Proposition 6.1.

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad (\lambda_F(T_0(p)))^2 &= (x_0(1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_n))^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i \text{Sym}_{W_n}((x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{b}_i})) \end{aligned}$$

where the $\mathbf{b}_i$ we defined at the beginning of section 1.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . When $n = 1$, the left-hand side of system 6.1 is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^1 2^i \text{Sym}_{W_1}((x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{b}_i})) &= \text{Sym}_{W_1}((x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{b}_0}) + 2 \text{Sym}_{W_1}((x_0^2 x^{\mathbf{b}_1})) \\ &= x_0^2(x_1^2 + 1) + 2x_0^2 x_1 \\ &= (x_0(1+x_1))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assume the left-hand side of the equation holds for n and show that it holds for $n+1$. Now,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i \text{Sym}_{W_{n+1}}((x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i+1}^2 x_{n-i+2} \cdots x_{n+1})) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n+1)} \right)$$

by Lemma 2.2. Similarly to how we derived equation 3.1 we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n+1)} \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i \sum_{k=0}^{n+1-i} x_{n+1}^2 \mathfrak{S}_{k-1,i}^{(n)} + x_n \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1} + \mathfrak{S}_{k,i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i} (x_{n+1}^2 + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 2^i x_{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i-1}^{(n)} \\
&= (x_{n+1}^2 + 1) \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i [x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{i+1} x_{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathfrak{S}_{k,i}^{(n)} \\
&= (x_{n+1}^2 + 1) \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i [x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] + \\
&\quad 2x_{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i [x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] \\
&= (x_{n+1} + 1)^2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i [x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] \right) \\
&= (x_0^2(1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_{n+1}))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Rephrasing this in terms of known constants, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_F(T_0(p)))^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^n [x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] \\
&= [x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i [x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_{n-i}^2 x_{n-i+1} \cdots x_n] \\
&= [x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i k_i.
\end{aligned}$$

So, on the one hand

$$[x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2] = \lambda_F(T_0(p))^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i k_i$$

and on the other hand by the last equation of system 2.7

$$[x_0^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2] = \frac{\lambda_F(T_0(p^2)) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{i,n+1} k_i}{c_{n+1,n+1}}.$$

From this it is clear that we can compute $\lambda_F(T_0(p^2))$, and thus, it suffices, for the algorithm, to know the eigenvalues of F with respect to the generators of the local Hecke algebra.